

Responses to Barn/Silo Re-Development Comments  
Board of Directors Meeting  
January 19, 2013

1. We have lots of other facilities in the valley to host activities, we need to look at what we can provide that's different to attract people to our facility.

Response: This was considered as well as the immediate needs of the Association.

2. The flow of traffic in the current plan needs to be looked at so that everything does not go through the large multi-purpose room.

Response: This was implemented in the new drawings

3. By all means we need to include a restaurant in the plans.

Response: This has been included.

4. It seems we are building something we've already out grown, we need to consider the activities we currently have, Talent shows, karaoke, pool tournaments, cards, quilting, etc...

Response: It is not the opinion of the Board that we have already outgrown this building, we have room for talent shows, karaoke, pool, cards quilting etc with the capability of doing more than one thing at a time without them interfering. There is expansion capability to the north for future needs.

5. The entrance needs to be made to be year round, the golf shop will not be used for plus or minus 6 months.

Response: The entrance is year round as the Pro Shop is further upfront and could be used for winter needs, cross ski rental and maps, snowmobiling maps etc.

6. The location of the Association offices needs to be reviewed, why not downstairs?

Response: We did prepare one drawing with the offices downstairs, but this change did not appreciably improve the use of the upper floor, and did not provide appropriate supervision of the upstairs during the winter use period. The majority of the Board holds the opinion that the offices should be on the main floor.

7. The bar and restaurant need to be in the front for easier access and cleaning ease.

Response: In the preferred plan, there are multiple points of access to the restaurant and bar areas with outside seating also available without taking up premium viewing areas with the actual bar and food preparation areas.

8. Flip west end for expansion, move big room to the north side.

Response: This was looked at in three different ways, however, with the extra meeting room in the lower level expansion is still possible and probably not needed in the foreseeable future. In addition this caused much of the prime front area to be used by restrooms, cooking areas and other areas that did not properly take advantage of the views.

9. Does the big room need a view?

Response: With the multiple use capabilities of the large area upstairs for dining, special meetings and potentially receptions of many types the view is advantageous. The large meeting area on the lower level can take care of meetings that do not necessarily need a view, although there will be windows along the west and north sides.

10. Have you approached private investors for funds? Endowments or name a room or the building after them?

Response: This has not been done.

11. Activities draw people, the building needs to be set up to improve what we have.

Response: We believe we have provided for this and also allow for full year use. With two meeting areas on different levels we will allow for simultaneous meetings without the noise problems we now have.

12. Should we wait for more money and have benefits or special events to raise more money before we build.

Response: The Board believes that with the depressed building market, and low interest rates we are currently experiencing, now is the best time to move and what we have proposed will serve our needs for many years to come and not subject the Association to potentially onerous interest payments from higher rates and more cost.

13. Maybe we should tape off the size of the proposed large meeting room in the existing barn to show what we are talking about, 53 ft. x32 ft., approximately 1700 sq. feet.

Response: We could still do this in the barn after we open it for the summer. The size of the large room upstairs is now 300 square feet larger than the first drawings and the large room on the lower level is also about 200 square feet larger.

14. Why not make the pro-shop a winter activity area when not used for golf?

Responses: Good idea, we could still do this and will try it after the building is completed.

15. Can we rent or install temporary Association offices and build a building that accommodates more activities now and expand later to include the offices?

**Response: We believe that the proposed building will allow for both and eliminate our substandard current buildings and eliminate the need for rental expenses.**

16. Could we sell the lot where the offices are now and use the money for building?

**Response: Not without 70% of the membership approval.**